System-wide Goals, Objectives, and Performance Measures

			Unit of		Baseline Da	ta	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		Georgia Milestones EOG- English Language Arts/Reading and Vocabulary								
		Grades 3, 4, and 5								
		All Students	grade level	na	na	70%		75%	78%	81%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	ade	na	na	49%		54%	57%	60%
		Students w/Disabilities	/e gr	na	na	30%		35%	38%	41%
		Economically Disadvantaged	abo	na	na	51%		56%	59%	62%
		Black	Percentage of students reading at or above	na	na	56%		61%	64%	67%
	Improve Student	TAG	ging	na	na	99%		99%	99%	99%
	Achievement in Reading	Grades 6, 7, and 8	- Ga							
		All Students	dents	na	na	73%		78%	81%	84%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	stuc	na	na	34%		39%	42%	45%
		Students w/Disabilities	ge of	na	na	31%		36%	39%	42%
		Economically Disadvantaged	enta	na	na	54%		59%	62%	65%
Σ		Black	Perc	na	na	58%		63%	66%	69%
CURRICULUM		TAG		na	na	99%		99%	99%	99%
RIC		Georgia Milestones EOC - Ninth Grade Literature/Reading and Vocabularly		na	na	76%		81%	84%	87%
UR		Georgia Milestones EOG- English Language Arts								
		Grades 3, 4, and 5								
STUDENTS MASTER		All Students	Φ	na	na	75% (48%)		80%	83%	85%
IAS		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	abov	na	na	56% (21%)		40%	43%	46%
S		Students w/Disabilities	0	na	na	33% (12%)		38%	41%	44%
		Economically Disadvantaged	arne	na	na	58% (24%)		63%	66%	69%
g,		Black	og Fe	na	na	62% (29%)		67%	70%	73%
ST		TAG	alopir	na	na	100% (94%)		100%	100%	100%
		Grades 6, 7, and 8	Deve							
	Improve Student	All Students	el 2-	na	na	77% (47%)		82%	85%	88%
	Achievement in English/	English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	Lev	na	na	37% (12%)		42%	45%	48%
	Language Arts	Students w/Disabilities	ng a	na	na	32% (8%)		37%	40%	43%
		Economically Disadvantaged	scori	na	na	59% (22%)		64%	67%	70%
		Black	ants :	na	na	63% (27%)		68%	71%	74%
		TAG	stude	na	na	99% (91%)		99%	99%	99%
		Georgia Milestones - EOC 9th Grade Literature and American Literature	e of							
		All Students	Percentage of students scoring at Level 2-Developing Learner or above	na	na	77% (43%)		82%	85%	88%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	9019	na	na	38% (7%)		43%	46%	49%
		Students w/Disabilities		na	na	30% (6%)		35%	38%	41%
		Economically Disadvantaged		na	na	61% (19%)		66%	69%	72%
		Black		na	na	65% (23%)		68%	71%	74%

Key:

			Unit of	E	Baseline Da	ta	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure		2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		Georgia Milestones EOG - Mathematics	o	i		•		<u> </u>		
		Grades 3, 4, and 5	Leamer							
		All Students	Te de la company	na	na	81% (48%)		86%	89%	92%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	- Spingo	na	na	71% (28%)		76%	79%	82%
		Students w/Disabilities	eve	na	na	42% (14%)		47%	50%	53%
		Economically Disadvantaged	2-D	na	na	67% (24%)		70%	73%	76%
		Black	students scoring at Level 2-Developing above	na	na	69% (28%)		74%	77%	80%
		TAG	l at L	na	na	100% (93%)		100%	100%	100%
		Grades 6, 7, and 8	oring						l.	ı
		All Students	os s	na	na	76% (45%)		81%	84%	87%
	Improve Student	English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	dent	na	na	49% (20%)		54%	57%	60%
	Achievement in Math	Students w/Disabilities	f stu	na	na	36% (10%)		41%	44%	47%
		Economically Disadvantaged	0 e 6	na	na	56% (17%)		61%	64%	67%
		Black	Percentage of	na	na	59% (18%)		64%	67%	70%
		TAG	Perc	na	na	99% (91%)		99%	99%	99%
5		Georgia Milestones - EOC Coordinate Algebra and Analytic Geometry	rts or						l.	ı
		All Students	Percentage of students scoring at Level 2-Developing Learner or above	na	na	68% (41%)		73%	76%	79%
5		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	of st Leval	na	na	42% (21%)		47%	50%	53%
RRI		Students w/Disabilities	age or pring pring abo	na	na	27% (8%)		32%	35%	38%
3		Economically Disadvantaged	cent	na	na	46% (14%)		51%	54%	57%
띪		Black	Per De	na	na	49% (16%)		54%	57%	60%
STUDENTS MASTER CURRICULUM		Georgia Milestones EOG - Science					•			
MA		Grades 3, 4, and 5								
I S		All Students	9>	na	na	74% (41%)		79%	82%	85%
EN		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	or above	na	na	59% (17%)		64%	67%	70%
		Students w/Disabilities	er or	na	na	41% (14%)		46%	49%	52%
S		Economically Disadvantaged	earn	na	na	57% (18%)		62%	65%	68%
		Black	ng L	na	na	60% (22%)		65%	68%	71%
		TAG	scoring at Level 2-Developing Learner	na	na	99% (87%)		99%	99%	99%
		Grades 6, 7, and 8	Dev							
	learness Ottodant	All Students	el 2-	na	na	65% (41%)		70%	73%	76%
	Improve Student Achievement in Science	English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	Lev	na	na	33% (15%)		38%	41%	44%
	Action of the first of the control o	Students w/Disabilities	ng at	na	na	28% (10%)		33%	36%	39%
		Economically Disadvantaged	cori	na	na	41% (16%)		46%	49%	52%
		Black	nts s	na	na	44% (17%)		49%	52%	55%
		TAG	students (na	na	97% (85%)		97%	97%	97%
		Georgia Milestones - EOC Biology and Physical Science	of si							
		All Students	Percentage of	na	na	62% (38%)		67%	70%	73%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	rcen:	na	na	29% (13%)		34%	37%	40%
$\ \ $		Students w/Disabilities	Ъ	na	na	25% (9%)		30%	33%	36%
		Economically Disadvantaged		na	na	38% (14%)		43%	46%	49%
		Black		na	na	41% (15%)		46%	49%	52%

				F	Baseline Da	ta	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Unit of Measure		2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		Georgia Milestones EOG - Social Studies			•				<u>'</u>	
		Grades 3, 4, and 5								
		All Students	Φ.	na	na	76% (37%)		81%	84%	87%
		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	yode	na	na	60% (15%)		65%	68%	71%
		Students w/Disabilities	ı or	na	na	41% (12%)		46%	49%	52%
		Economically Disadvantaged	scoring at Level 2-Developing Learner or above	na	na	59% (16%)		64%	67%	70%
		Black	og Le	na	na	63% (21%)		68%	71%	74%
		TAG	ilopir	na	na	99% (81%)		99%	99%	99%
		Grades 6, 7, and 8	Deve							
	Improve Student	All Students	el 2-	na	na	73% (39%)		78%	81%	84%
	Achievement in Social	English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	Lev	na	na	41% (13%)		46%	49%	52%
	Studies	Students w/Disabilities	ng at	na	na	34% (10%)		39%	42%	45%
		Economically Disadvantaged	sconi	na	na	52% (14%)		57%	60%	63%
		Black	ints (na	na	55% (17%)		60%	63%	66%
Σ		TAG	Percentage of students	na	na	98% (81%)		98%	98%	98%
STUDENTS MASTER CURRICULUM		Georgia Milestones - EOC U.S. History and Economics	o of		•	•	_	=		
SC		All Students	ntage	na	na	73% (45%)		78%	81%	84%
URI		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)	erce	na	na	47% (18%)		52%	55%	58%
S C		Students w/Disabilities	۵.	na	na	34% (11%)		39%	42%	45%
里		Economically Disadvantaged		na	na	55% (21%)		60%	63%	66%
IAS		Black		na	na	58% (23%)		63%	66%	69%
S		9th grade students on track for graduation								
		All Students		81%	84%	84%		88%	90%	90%
l ig		English Learners (inc. Monitored Students)		72%	73%	76%		83%	90%	90%
ST		Students w/Disabilities	ıts	72%	76%	78%		85%	90%	90%
		Economically Disadvantaged (exc. SWD and EL)	tnder	70%	73%	73%		83%	90%	90%
		Black	of s.	70%	75%	75%		85%	90%	90%
		Drop Out Rate (cohort based)	Percentage of students				_	_		
		All Students	ue	16.1%	11.9%	9.6%		8.0%	6.0%	5.0%
	Increase the High School	English Learners	a.	34.8%	33.7%	20.0%		17.0%	14.0%	10.0%
	Graduation Rate	Students w/Disabilities		26.5%	22.7%	22.1%		19.0%	15.0%	11.0%
		Economically Disadvantaged		24.6%	19.5%	17.2%		14.0%	11.0%	9.0%
		Black		23.8%	17.3%	14.9%		12.0%	9.0%	7.0%
		Graduation rate	nts					_		
		All Students	Percentage of students	75.5%	78.7%	85.3%		87%	90%	90%
		English Learners	of s.	50.7%	48.4%	60.8%		65%	70%	75%
		Students w/Disabilities	tage	39.5%	42.4%	62.7%		63%	68%	73%
		Economically Disadvantaged	ceni	61.2%	63.7%	74.5%		80%	85%	90%
		Black	Per	63.3%	67.7%	78.1%		83%	87%	90%

		Deferment Messure		В	Baseline Da	ta	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Unit of Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		Norm-referenced tests - Reading - ITBS								
		Grade 3	Se	66	66	64		68	69	69
		Grade 5	Percentile rank on ITBS	63	64	61		66	67	67
		Grade 8	nk o	57	58	58		60	61	61
		Norm-referenced tests - Math -ITBS	e ra				8			
		Grade 3	centi	75	72	69		74	75	75
		Grade 5	Per	71	72	67		74	75	75
		Grade 8		60	60	61		62	63	63
		Norm-referenced tests - Reading - GA Milestones								
		Grade 3	Ø	na	na	41		44	47	50
		Grade 5	Percentile rank on ITBS	na	na	52		55	58	61
	Improve Performance on	Grade 8	ank o	na	na	69		72	75	78
	National Assessments and Rankings	Norm-referenced tests - Math - GA Milestones	tile ra			•			•	
	rtantings	Grade 3	arcen	na	na	60		63	66	69
		Grade 5	A A	na	na	61		64	67	70
		Grade 8		na	na	77		80	83	86
		All students maintaining or increasing from 5th to 8th grade (within a statistically significant				•			•	
		range):	ge of ts							
l l		Norm-referenced test - ITBS	entag				_		•	,
IN E		Reading	Percentage of students	44.0%	54.4%	52.3%		57%	58%	58%
∥		Math		49.1%	56.2%	46.5%		58%	59%	59%
STUDENTS ARE NATIONALLY COMPETITIVE		ITBS Performance of 8th grade students enrolled since 6th grade in Total Math	Percentile equivalent of avg scaled score	61%ile	62%ile	63%ile		64%ile	65%ile	65%ile
ATIONAL		Percentage of students taking the SAT	Percentage of graduating seniors	82%	82%	77%		79%	80%	82%
Ì		SAT	atal .							
ARE		Math/Critical Reading Total	Avg. Total Score	1051	1050	1043		baseline		
<u>S</u>		Writing Total	. ₹	516	517	515		na		
JDEN-		Percentage of students at the 25th%ile of all University System of Georgia admitted students	age of ints							
STL		Math	Percentage students	74%	75%	75%		85%	85%	85%
		Critical Reading	Pe	74%	73%	73%		85%	85%	85%
		SAT - Schools Achieving Targeted Gains	Jo e		l	ı			l.	l
		Math	rcentage (27%	22%		baseline		
		Critical Reading	Perce		31%	33%		baseline		
	Increase Students	ACT STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	J. J.			33,0			ļ	
	Successfully Prepared for College or Career	Composite Score	Avg. Score	22.6	22.6	22.9		22.8	22.9	22.9
		Participation Rate	Percentage of graduating seniors	54.3%	52.9%	58.7%		22.8	22.9	22.9

			Unit of	Е	Baseline Dat	ta	Actual	Targets		
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		P/IB/Dual Enrollment তুঁ ভূ প্ল								
		Percentage of students enrolled in at least 1 AP/IB/Dual Enrollment class	centage gh schoc students	49%	52%	53%		54%	55%	55%
		Percentage of students passing AP/IB exams	Perc hig	67%	67%	66%		67%	67%	67%
		Percentage of CTAE Pathway Completers earning a CTAE Industry-Recognized Credential	age	50%	56%	52%		55%	57%	60%
		Percentage of 9th grade students completing career inventory	Percents of stude	n/a	80%	96%		97%	98%	99%
			ercentage graduating seniors							
		Percentage of graduating seniors that seamlessly enroll in post secondary schools	Per of gr	69%	1/16	1/16		TBD	TBD	TBD

			Unit of	E	Baseline Data	a	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
ıent	Decrease incidences resulting in loss of instructional time	Number of office referrals	No. of office referrals	35,741	33,360	32,632		32,039	31,398	30,770
School Management	Improve student	Mean of student responses on the student perception survey	Composite score							
Nar	perceptions of school	Elementary School (1 to 4)	posite	NA	NA	2.78		2.8	2.85	2.9
1 100		Middle and High School (1 to 5)	Com	3.59	3.61	3.71		3.75	3.8	3.85
Sch	Increase prevention and readiness for incidents	Percentage of schools passing safety checks	Pct. of schools	NA	NA	NA		TBD	TBD	TBD
		Percentage of teaching positions filled at the 20th day of school*	Pct. of filled allocated teaching positions	98.24%	98.32%	97.93%		98.0%	98.0%	98.0%
	Hire qualified staff for all positions	Percentage of teacher vacancies in math and science at the start of school	Pct. of allocated teaching positions	NA	NA	3.13%		3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
ple		Percentage of teachers meeting highly qualified requirements	Pct. of teachers	95%	97%	97%		97.0%	97.0%	97.0%
People	Qualified staff for all	Percentage of teachers advancing to Level IV (Exemplary) on their summative TKES performance rating	Pct. opf teachers	NA	2.00%	4.4%		2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	Qualified staff for all positions	Percentage of principals and assistant principals advancing to Level IV (Exemplary) on their summative LKES performance rating	Pct. of principals and assistant principals	NA	2.00%	6.67%		2.0%	2.0%	2.0%

			Unit of	E	Baseline Data	3	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
_		Annual % of days that classroom teachers are absent from work	Perc D	7.68%	7.20%	5.80%		6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
	Desferrence	Percentage of schools completing TKES Summative requirements	Pct. of Compl. TKES	97.00%	97.50%	90.43%		93.0%	95.0%	97.0%
	Performance Management completion	Percentage of schools and district staff completing LKES Summative requirements	Pct. of compl. LKES	91.00%	93.00%	93.62%		95.0%	96.0%	97.0%
әјс		Percentage of non-teaching positions vacant at start of school (excludes Bus Driver/Food Service Worker)	Pct. of non- teaching positions	6.98%	9.16%	4.49%		4.0%	3.0%	3.0%
People	Retain staff for all positions	Annual retention rate of Certified employees	Pct. of Certified Employees Resigning/ Retiring	13%	14.00%	18.00%		16.0%	14.0%	12.0%
	Retain staff for all positions	Percentage of newly hired teachers voluntarily separating after the first year of employment	Pct. of teachers	4.57%	5.46%	8.25%		6.0%	5.0%	5.0%
		Composite of staff satisfaction from Department Quality Survey	Composite rating	3.97	5.47	5.65		5.7	5.75	5.8
	in their position	Number of PL courses/trainings available in the PD marketplace (<i>Edivation</i>)to non-instructional staff	No. of courses	0	159	204		235	270	311

			Unit of	E	Baseline Data	3	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
	Increase use of authentic assessment	Number of schools utilizing the Classroom Assessment module	No. of schools	78	101	101		101	101	101
	Improve Reading	Percentage of English Learners that remain at or above grade level through two years of exiting the program	Composite score							
ction		Reading	Сомрс			na		baseline	TBD	TBD
		Math				na		baseline	TBD	TBD
Instruction		Percentage of all students retained	e of					•		
<i>u</i>		Grade 3	Percentage of students	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%		0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
		Grade 8 0.9% 0.7% 0.4%		0.2%	0.2%	0.2%				
	Increase early			0.9%	0.7%	0.4%		0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
	intervention	Percentage of SWD students who receive 80% or more of their academic instruction in a general education setting (LRE1)	Percentage of students	51%	52%	52%		53%	55%	57%
Technology	Increase student use and proficiency with technology	Percentage of network uptime	Pct. of time	99%	99%	99%		99%	99%	99%
es		Textbooks	ailable	100%	99%	90%		100%	100%	100%
Resources	Insure timely provision of resources to schools	Start of school materials	Pct. of items available	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%
Re		Laptops for New Teachers	Pct. of	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%

			Unit of	E	Baseline Data	a	Actual		Targets	
Goals	Objective	Performance Measure	Measure	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
		New construction completed on schedule and within budget*	Pct. of new construction projects completed	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%
	Increase operational efficiency	On-time bus delivery	Pct. of on-time delivery	99.5%	99.53%	99.88%		99%	99%	99%
	,	Maintenance support response	Pct. complet ed within 30 days	92%	95%	98%		98%	98%	98%
		Percentage of square footage with energy star rating	Pct. of eligible SF	94%	96%	96%		96%	97%	97%
	Increase internal/external communication	Percentage of news releases resulting in news coverage	Pct. of news releases	72.5%	40%	71%		72%	74%	76%
rces	Control loss	Percentage of general fund dollars in lost property (exc. catastrophic events)	Pct. of general fund	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%		0.02%	0.02%	0.02%
Resources	Reduce FTE losses through effective	Amount of FTE dollars for ESOL, TAG, and Exceptional Children	FTE amount	\$81,815,068	\$85,319,718	\$93,279,554		\$95,000,000	\$97,000,000	\$99,000,000
	staffing	FTE dollars lost	FTE	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%
	Increase competitive	Dollar amount of grants and donations	Dollar amount	\$6,453,927	\$10,260,092	\$10,696,286		\$10,767,594	\$10,838,902	\$10,910,212
	grants and donations	Number of grants	No. of grants	82	112	153		160	180	191
	Maintain adequate reserves	General fund balance at year- end	General fund balance	29%	29%	28%		18%	18%	18%
	Sound fiscal	Variance of Budget to Actual	Variance of budget to actual							
	management	Revenue	/ariar Iget t	-0.04%	1.69%	1.40%		2%	2%	2%
		Expenses	pnq ^	-4.37%	-4.07%	-5.50%		-3%	-3%	-3%